

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.repto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/634,843	08/06/2003	Akira Maruyama	030888	6773
	7590 03/18/200 VTOS & HANSON, LI	EXAMINER		
1420 K Street, N.W.			PHANTANA ANGKOOL, DAVID	
Suite 400 WASHINGTO	N. DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
omcro	1, 20 2000		2175	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/18/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/634,843	MARUYAMA ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	David Phantana-angkool	2175					

	David Phantana-angkool	2175						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 04 March 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
<ol> <li>M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following i application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:</li> </ol>	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request					
The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07()	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period city under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set for thin (b) above, if checked, Any reply received by the Office are may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1: ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origithan three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the property of the property o</li></ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
AMENDMENTS								
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further cor</li> <li>(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed)</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NOT		cause					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially red	lucing or simplifying tl	ne issues for					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c	and the second s	ated alaims						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1:		cteu ciairis.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mnliant Amendment (	PTOL-324)					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		inpliant / tinonamont (i	TOL OL+).					
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
7. Mero purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
<ul> <li>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</li> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ul>								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).							
AAGUS L. Db/								

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2175

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The proposed amendments have been carefully reviewed and are considered to raise new issues with respect to independent claims 1 and 11. Amended claim 1 further recites "when a predetermined time has elaped, so that the activated...one to another in succession". The proposed amendments require further searching and reconsideration by the Examiner, therefore the amendments will not be entered..